# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

# FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF 3200 21069 (TPM); LOG NO. 07-19-005

**January 11, 2011** 

<u>I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT   □					
Discussion:								
of the Multiple Sp	pecies Conserv	∕ation Progran	vements are located within the born. Therefore, conformance to the findings is not required.					
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?								
	∕ES N ⊠	O N	OT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated November 9, 2010.								
<b>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</b> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠					
Discussion:								
from surface rese	ervoirs and/or i	mported sour	he Otay Water District which ob- ces. The project will not use an tion or domestic supply.					

**IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

## Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

# Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

## Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be place in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are steep slopes on the property however, an open space easement is proposed over the entire steep slope lands. Therefore, the project is in conformance with the RPO.

## Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

# Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The project site has been surveyed by a County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Heather Kwiatkowski on April 24, 2009, and it has been determined that there may be archaeological resources present. CA-SDI-6734, a lithic scatter, was previously recorded within the project area. While the site was not relocated during the current survey, it may be potentially buried under vegetation or destroyed during the installation of the utility line that bisect the central northern portion of the property.. An archaeological technical study entitled, "Negative Cultural Resources Survey Report for Sajady TPM 21069, Log No. 07-19-005", prepared by Heather Kwiatkowski, dated April 27, 2009 documents the findings of the survey.

The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) was contacted for a listing of Native American Tribes whose ancestral lands may be impacted by the project. The tribes listed by the NAHC were received on April 24, 2009 and letters requesting tribal consultation were sent out on April 27, 2009. Tribal responses have not yet been received.

Grading monitoring, consisting of a County-approved archaeologist and Native American observer, will be a required condition of project approval because of the proximity of known archaeological sites and because the area to be developed consists mostly of undisturbed native vegetation. In addition, the project must comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of

Ordinance (WP		tion, Stormwa	ater Management and Discharg	e Control
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
The project Storcomplete and in		•	n has been reviewed and is fou	nd to be
			ect comply with the County of Sa e County of San Diego Noise Or	•
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.